NOTES ON MOSQUITOES FROM AN AREA OF ENDEMIC YELLOW FEVER IN COLOMBIA

(DIPTERA, CULICIDAE)

By William H. W. Komp, Laboratory of Tropical Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md.

During the summer of 1935, the writer visited an area of endemic (jungle) yellow fever in the Intendencia of Meta, Colombia, in the eastern foothills of the Andes, to make a survey of the mosquito fauna of the region. The results of this survey were published in April 1936 (Komp, 1936a). Several new species were found in the area by the writer, and were later described. During the nearly 20 years since the publication of his results, mosquito taxonomy has advanced considerably, and several species misnamed by the writer have been described by others. These emendations and corrections will be noted below in their proper places, reference being made to the species as numbered in the original paper (Komp, 1936a).

No. 17. Goeldia u. sp. The larval skin and the corresponding female adult have been lost; no description of this larva has been published by the writer. What this species may be is not known.

No. 18. Joblotia digitata is now known as Trichoprosopon digitatum (Rondani). The genus has been revised by Stone (1944).

No. 20. Psorophora ferox Humboldt. Yellow fever has been transmitted by the bite of this species by N. C. Davis, using Asibi virus, but Whitman and Antunes (1937), who published Davis's experiments after his death, were unable to confirm his results. Whitman and Antunes say "The results with Psorophora ferox are confusing. Davis, in experiments reported in this paper (Whitman and Antunes, 1937) for the first time, was able to transmit yellow fever by the bite of this mosquito, but we have been unable to repeat these observations." P. ferox is no longer considered to be a vector of jungle yellow fever.

No. 25. Haemagogus janthinomys Dyar. Kumm et al. (1946) have shown that janthinomys is a synonym of spegazzinii Brèthes. Subsequent dissection of the male terminalia of specimens reared from larvae collected at Restrepo showed them to be the common subspecies spegazzinii falco Kumm et al. However, Dr. L. E. Rozeboom informs me that he later obtained males of spegazzinii near Villavicencio, some 20 kilometers from Restrepo. In 1944, when the writer visited the Yellow Fever Laboratory of the Rockefeller Foundation at Villavicencio, he collected from tree holes larvae which produced males of Haemagogus regalis (lucifer is a synonym (Komp, 1954)). Dr. Marston Bates then informed him that he suspected that about two percent of all adult Haemagogus collected in the Villavicencio region were regalis. The adult females of regalis are indistinguishable from those of falco or spegazzinii.

No. 26. Aedes leucocelaenus Dyar & Shannon. According to Galindo et al. (1952) the species obtained by the writer at Restrepo, and of which the male was described (Komp, 1938), is a new species. They state on page 531: "Komp has informed us (in a letter to H. T. dated April 18, 1951) that this illustration

¹Deceased December 7, 1955. See obituary, p. 47.—ED.

[of the male terminalia] was drawn from a male taken at Restrepo, Colombia. . . . This new species [from Restrepo] will be described by Komp separately.'' This has not yet been done. The form found in the Restrepo area was rare, and only a few larvae were collected from narrow, deep tree holes. Because of its rarity in the area, it is probably not an important vector of jungle yellow fever there. One of the forms found around Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, was found infected in nature in 1938 (Shannon et al., 1938). It seems to be much more common in southern Brazil than it was in the Restrepo area.

No. 29. Aedes angustivittatus D. & K. This species was identified by larval characters given by Dyar (1928, p. 151). The thoracic ornamentation of the adult seems to be subject to considerable variation, in some specimens making it resemble Aedes scapularis Rondani.

No. 31. Aedes scapularis Rondani. Laemmert and Kumm (1950) infected monkeys with yellow fever by the bite of A. scapularis. It was also very common during an epidemic of yellow fever in the Valle do Chanaan, State of Espirito Santo, Brazil, in 1932.

No. 32. Aedes terrens Walker. This species is undoubtedly part of a complex, such as described for A. leucocelaenus by Galindo et al. (1952). The form found in Restrepo differs in the larva and male terminalia from material obtained in Panama, Costa Rica, Trinidad, and elsewhere in the Neotropical region. The writer has specimens of at least four forms of this complex; one of them from the Panama Canal Zone has the fifth hind tarsal segment of the adult white. In another very common form from Panama, reared from identical larvae, the mesonotum of the male may be silvered across anteriorly, or have the silvery scales separated by an area of dark scales. A. thorntoni D. & K., a member of the terrens complex, is known only from a few females collected at Bluefields, Nicaragua.

No. 33. Aedes dominicii Rangel & Romero Sierra. This species is whitmorei Dunn 1918. The writer obtained the type series from Col. Eugene Whitmore, for whom L. H. Dunn named the species. The series was deposited in the U. S. National Museum. A. whitmorei is a member of a subgenus of Acdes described as Soperia by the writer in 1936 (Komp, 1936b). The type series, according to Dyar (1928, p. 231) was "bred from larvae said to have been found in a pool at Emerald Mines, Muzo, Colombia," and further, "Major Dunn, who collected them, had one male but he did not give it to me. . ." This specimen, belonging to the type series, was examined by the writer, and found to be a female without a head. The spermathecae had been crushed against the legs and extruded, giving the appearance of the two side-pieces of a male. The known breeding places of A. whitmorei are saxicolous bromeliads, and it is probable that one such plant, containing larvae, had fallen into a ground-pool, where Dunn collected them.

No. 34. Aedes, new species. This was subsequently described by the writer as Aedes (Soperia) pseudodominicii (1936b). In coloration it much resembles A. whitmorei. All the males were captured, and the mesonotal markings were rubbed. The male terminalia are quite different from those of A. whitmorei. Neither species has terminalia with claspettes. No associated larvae were obtained.

No. 35. Acdes septemstriatus D. & K. The larva of this species has not been described, as the writer's material has been lost.

No. 41. Culex (Carrollella) iridescens Lutz. Dyar (1928), in a key to the larvae of Culex, does not separate those of secundus from iridescens (see dichotomy 51b, p. 280). The subgeneric name Carrollia was given to iridescens by Lutz in 1905. The name Carrollella Lutz dates from 1921 (Dyar, 1928, p. 269). It is not known why Dyar used the subgeneric name Carrollella in "The Mosquitoes of the Americas" (1928, pp. 280-285).

No. 45. Culcx (Carrollella) infoliatus B.-W. & B. The writer remains of the opinion that bihaicolus D. & N. T. 1927 is a synonym of infoliatus. As stated, Dyar found no characters whereby the larvae of the two supposed species can be distinguished, and "the single slide of the male terminalia of bihaicolus in the U. S. National Museum collection is in such poor shape that no details can be made out" (Komp, 1936a, p. 64). However, Lane (1953, p. 497) gives a key to the male terminalia of bihaicolus and infoliatus, and treats them as distinct species on pages 509 and 510, respectively.

No. 48. Culex (Mochlostyrax) distinguendus Dyar. This species was described (Dyar, 1928, p. 305) without a figure of the male terminalia. Rozeboom and Komp (1950, fig. 25) give a figure taken from the lectotype selected by them: Slide 2327 U. S. N. M. The material collected in Restrepo has been lost, but Dr. R. H. Foote of the U. S. National Museum has described the larvae (1954) from material obtained elsewhere.

No. 50. Culex (Mochlostyrax) inhibitator D. & K. This is probably Culex erraticus D. & K. 1905, according to King and Bradley (1937). They say (p. 355) "Several of the species described from the American tropics appear to be indistinguishable from erraticus, as now defined, and are provisionally included in its synonymy." The type locality for inhibitator is the island of Santo Domingo (Hispaniola).

No. 51. Culex (Mochlostyrax) bastagarius D. & K. This name has many synonyms, including vapulans Dyar 1920, alfaroi Dyar 1921, innominatus Evans 1924, and cuclyx D. & S. 1924. Rozeboom and Komp (1950) give a figure (Fig. 10) of the male terminalia.

No. 54. Culex (Microculex) sp. The terminalia of the male specimen were later dissected, and the species was found to be C. (Microculex) stonei Lane & Whitman 1943. Lane (1953, vol. 1, p. 521) gives the distribution as Trinidad, B. W. I., and states that occilatus D. & K. 1905 is a synonym. The C. (Microculex) occilatus of Theobald 1903 is a Melanoconion, later described as C. automartus Root (Rozeboom & Komp, 1950, fig. 59).

No. 67. Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) bachmanni Petrocchi. This species is now known as Anopheles (N.) triannulatus Neiva & Pinto 1923. The latter name was given to an aberrant specimen, with extra black rings on the hind tarsi, such as occur in A. albimanus bisignatus and trisignatus Hoffman, from Mexico and the lower Rio Grande valley in Texas. The usual form of triannulatus has only the base of the fifth hind tarsal segment black.

No. 68. Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) tarsimaculatus Goeldi. This is A. (N.) rangeli Gabaldon et al. (1940). It was determined as such by examination of the male terminalia. The distribution given by Russell, Rozeboom and Stone

(1943, p. 49) as Trinidad, B. W. I., is erroneous, the locality being La Trinidad, Venezuela. The writer has seen specimens collected by F. M. Root from this locality, in the collection of the School of Hygiene and Public Health, Johns Hopkins University. A. rangeli was not found in Trinidad, B. W. I., during an extensive survey by Downs, Gillette and Shannon (1943, p. 29).

No. 69. Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) albitarsis Arribalzaga. This is A. (N.) pessoai Galvão & Lane (1936). Lane (1953) makes A. pessoai a synonym of A. braziliensis (Chagas) 1907, but gives no reason for the change in nomenclature. Russell, Rozeboom and Stone (1943, p. 48) give the name as pessoai and the incorrect date 1937, as does Lane in Boyd's "Malariology," Vol. 1, p. 403, 1949.

No. 77. Anopheles (Kerteszia) boliviensis Theobald. Dr. Ernesto Osorno M. (Komp and Osorno M., 1936) "in January, 1936... succeeded in breeding from larvae found in bromeliads near Restrepo two males of this species. The corresponding larval skins were preserved... In boliviensis the single elements of the palmate hair are slender, lanceolate, with pointed tips... The obvious differences separating the species from the common A. bellator of Panama [now known to be neivai H., D. & K. (Komp, 1937)] are, in the female, the presence of scales on the abdominal segments..." No other species of Kerteszia has scales on the abdominal tergites. This species occurs also in Peru and Bolivia (Songo, Bolivia, is the type locality).

No. 78. Anopheles bellator D. & K. A mixture of species was described here. The larvae collected by Dr. Jorge Boshell from uncut bamboo stems produced females, which the writer later described as A. (K.) bambusicolus (Komp, 1937). The larvae collected from saxicolous bromeliads by Dr. E. Osorno-Mesa produced adults of a species later described as A. (K.) homunculus by the writer (1937). This species is also found in Trinidad, B. W. I. The writer also described (1937) A. (K.) anoplus from a single male produced from a larva collected at Restrepo by Dr. Osorno. "This larva was found in a bromeliad, and was thought to be the same as that of homunculus. However, on examining the male terminalia, the mesosomal leaflets found in homunculus were not present in anoplus, although the form of the ventral lobe is nearly the same. . . ." Lane (1953, p. 287) makes anoplus a synonym of homunculus, stating "The leaflets lof the mesosomel can be vestigial or absent."

Regarding A. bambusicolus, the writer again found larvae in uncut bamboo internodes, some at 35 feet from ground level, north of Villavicencio, Colombia, in 1944. Unfortunately, no males were reared from these larvae. Lane (1953) gives figures (265, p. 282) of the male genitalia of A. bambusicolus, with the legend "... based on Coutinho 1946, thesis, 78, figs. 11 and 12..." Two theses by Continho are quoted in Lane's references, neither dated 1946. One is dated 1947 (Fac. Med. S. Paulo (Thesis) 53), and the other is dated 1950 (Fac. Med. S. Paulo (Thesis) 58). However, the files of the U. S. National Museum on Culicidae contain a thesis by Coutinho dated December 1947 (Coutinho, 1947), which gives distributional data only, stating on page 74 that A. bambusicolus was found in Londrina, State of Paraná, Brazil. This thesis contains no figures. If Coutinho presented two theses, one in 1947 and one in 1950, the files of the U. S. National Museum contain no evidence of the 1950 Coutinho thesis men-

tioned by Lane. We suspect an error of citation by Lane. The male genitalia of A. bambusicolus were described and figured by Coutinho (1946), but the figure given in this paper bears no resemblance to that copied by Lane (1953) and given as figure 265 (page 282). The question remains unsolved as to the origin of the latter figure, as it is entirely different from the one originally presented by Coutinho (1946).

Addenda

In addition to the Anopheline species mentioned above, males of A. pseudopunctipennis Theobald were captured in the forest near Villavieencio by the writer in 1944; larvae of A. darlingi Root were collected from roadside pools with grass along the road from Villavieencio to Restrepo during the same period. In spite of the presence of this dangerous vector, malaria did not seem to be a problem either in Restrepo or Villavieencio. Altogether, about 20 species of Anopheles are known from the Restrepo-Villavieencio area. Bates (1949) refers to the colonization of A. strodei Root in his Villavieencio laboratory, and Antunes (1937) found Anopheles (Lophopodomyia) squamifemur at Vega Grande; Municipio of Restrepo, in 1935.

SUMMARY

This paper corrects certain errors of identification made by the writer in 1936 (Komp, 1936a), and gives bibliographical references to several new species of mosquitoes later described by him and others from the Restrepo-Villavicencio area in Colombia. Some notes on revised nomenclature are given, as well as the relation of some species to sylvan vellow fever.

REFERENCES

- Antunes, P. C. A., 1937. A new Anopheles and a new Goeldia from Colombia Bull. Ent. Res. 28:69.
- Bates, M., 1949. The Natural History of Mosquitoes. Macmillan Co., N. Y. XV + 379 pp. (p. 249).
- Coutinho, J. O., 1946. Contribuição para o estudo do sub-género *Kerteszia*, com a descrição do macho do *Anopheles (Kerteszia) bambusicolus* Komp, 1937. Livro de homenagem a R. F. d'Almeida, No. 13: 149-154.
- ————, 1947. Contribuição para o estudo da distribuição geográfica dos Anofelinos do Brazil. Thesis, Fac. Med. Univ. São Paulo.
- Downs, W. G., Gillette, H. P. S., and Shannon, R. C., 1943. A malaria survey of Trinidad and Tobago, British West Indies. J. Nat. Mal. Soc., Suppl. 2: 1-44.
- Dyar, H. G., 1928. The Mosquitoes of the Americas. Carnegie Institution of Washington, Publ. 387.
- Foote, R. H., 1954. The larvae and pupae of the mosquitoes belonging to the *Culex* subgenera *Melanoconion* and *Moehlostyvax*. U.S.D.A. Tech. Bull. 1091, 125 pp.
- Gabaldon, A., Cova-García, P., and López, J. A., 1940. Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) rangeli, una nueva especie de la sub-serie oswaldoi de amplia distribucion en Venezuela. Div. Malariología, Minist. Sanidad y Asist. (Venezuela), Publ. No. 5: 9-23.

- Galindo, P., Carpenter, S. J., and Trapido, H., 1952. The taxonomic status of the Aedes leucocelacuus complex, with descriptions of two new forms. Ann. Ent. Soc. Amer. 45: 529-542.
- Galvão, A. A., and Lane, J., 1936. Notas sobre os Nyssorhynchus de S. Paulo.
 II. Descrição de uma nova especies Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) pessôai.
 Rev. Biol. e Hyg. 7: 67-79.
- Laemmert, Jr., H. W., and Kumm, H. W., 1950. The susceptibility of howler monkeys to yellow fever virus. Am. J. Trop. Med. 30: 723-731.
- King, W. V., and Bradley, G., 1937. Notes on *Culex erraticus* and related species in the United States. Ann. Ent. Amer. 30: 345-356.
- Komp, W. H. W., 1936a. An annotated list of the mosquitoes found in the vicinity of an endemic focus of yellow fever in the republic of Colombia. Proc. Ent. Soc. Wash. 38: 57-70.
- Sierra, and the male of Aedes pseudodominicii sp. nov., representatives of a new subgenus (Soperia) of the genus Aedes, from Colombia. Proc. Ent. Soc. Wash. 38: 71-75.
- ————, 1938. Acdes leucotaeviatus, a new species of Acdes allied to A. leucocelaevus D. & S.; and descriptions of the male and larva of A. leucocelaevus D. & S. Proc. Ent. Soc. Wash. 40: 260-266.
- _____, and Osorno-M., E., 1936. The male and larva of Anopheles (Kerteszia) boliviensis. Ann. Ent. Soc. Amer. 29: 415-419.
- Kumm, H. W., Osorno-Mesa, E., and Boshell-Manrique, J., 1946. Studies on mosquitoes of the genus *Haemagogus* in Colombia. Am. J. Hyg. 43: 13-28.
- Lane, J., 1953. Neotropical Culicidae. Vols. 1 and 2. Univ. of São Paulo, Brazil.
- Rozeboom, L. E., and Komp, W. H. W., 1950. A review of the species of *Culcx* of the subgenus *Melanoconion*. Ann. Ent. Soc. Amer. 43:75-114.
- Russell, P. F., Rozeboom, L. E., and Stone, A., 1943. Keys to the Anopheline Mosquitoes of the World. Amer. Ent. Soc., Acad. Nat. Sci., Philadelphia Pa.
- Shannon, R. C., Whitman L., and Franca, M., 1938. Yellow fever virus in jungle mosquitoes. Science 88: 110-111.
- Stone, A., 1944. Notes on the genus Trichoprosopon. Rev. Ent. 15: 355.
- Whitman, L. and Antunes, P. C. A., 1937. Studies on the capacity of various Brazilian mosquitoes, representing the genera *Psorophora*, *Aedes*, *Mansonia* and *Culex*, to transmit yellow fever. Am. J. Trop. Med. 17: 803-823.

ANNOUNCEMENT

Short scientific articles, not illustrated, two double spaced typewritten pages in length, are welcome and will usually receive prompt publication. References to literature should be included in the text.